

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/088,217	06/01/1998	MASAYUKI MOROTA	B208-960	B208-960 1472	
26272	7590 06/03/2003	,	•		
ROBIN BLECKER & DALEY			EXAMINER		
2ND FLOOR 330 MADISC	N AVENUE	HANNETT, JAMES M			
NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2612	11	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	1)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

54

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	~				
ż	Advisory Action	09/088,217	MOROTA ET AL.	•				
	,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit					
		James M Hannett	2612					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
There final cond	REPLY FILED 09 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH efore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See attached								
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5.🖂	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the				
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
	Claim(s) allowed:							
	Claim(s) objected to:							
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9, 11-19, 21-29</u> .							
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.				
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	 ·					
10.	Other:		OY R. GARBER BY PATENT EXAMINE BOY CENTER 2600	R				
		TECHNOL	OGY CENTER 2600					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request for reconsideration is based on amended claimes that would require further search..